

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/563,302	KOBAYASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner TIEN MAI	Art Unit 2836	

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) TIEN MAI. (3) _____.

(2) Gregory Montone. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 November 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

31-33,36-37,43-45 and 48

Prior art documents discussed:

Collins et al. (US 5,315,473)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Since Collins et al. discloses substrate and electrostatic chuck being movable together, Applicant cancelled claims that contain such limitation.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)